


Q1 In what region do you live?


Answered: 439 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Africa	15.26%	67
Asia	15.26%	67
Australia, New Zealand, Oceania	1.59%	7
Europe	36.90%	162
Latin America (Mexico, Central America, South America, Caribbean)	3.64%	16
Middle East	0.91%	4
US, Canada	26.42%	116
TOTAL		439

Q2 Did you participate in an IETF meeting in either 2018 or 2019 (IETF 101 to IETF 106)?


Answered: 439 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	86.56%	380
No	13.44%	59
TOTAL		439

Q3 Which of the following best describes your participation in IETF meetings?


Answered: 439 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I have only participated remotely	23.23%	102
I have only participated in person	37.13%	163
I have participated both remotely and in person	32.57%	143
I have never participated in an IETF meeting	7.06%	31
TOTAL		439

Q4 Do you still participate in the IETF?


Answered: 439 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	74.03%	325
No	25.97%	114
TOTAL		439

Q5 Did you know about IETF 108?


Answered: 439 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	77.68%	341
No	22.32%	98
TOTAL		439

Q6 Did you participate in IETF 108?


Answered: 439 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	0.00%	0
No	100.00%	439
TOTAL		439

Q7 How much did each of the following affect your decision not to participate?


Answered: 257 Skipped: 182



IETF 108 Non-Participation



IETF 108 Non-Participation




■ Extremely
 ■ Very
 ■ Moderately
 ■ Slightly
 ■ Not at all
 ■ N/A

	EXTREMELY	VERY	MODERATELY	SLIGHTLY	NOT AT ALL	N/A	TOTAL	WEIGHTED AVERAGE
Registration fee	18.47% 46	17.27% 43	16.87% 42	12.05% 30	26.10% 65	9.24% 23	249	3.11
Timezone	4.40% 11	10.40% 26	22.00% 55	16.00% 40	40.00% 100	7.20% 18	250	3.83
Meeting schedule (5 days, 6 hours per day)	2.06% 5	11.52% 28	22.22% 54	12.76% 31	43.62% 106	7.82% 19	243	3.92
Meeting agenda	4.05% 10	13.77% 34	14.17% 35	16.19% 40	43.72% 108	8.10% 20	247	3.89
Productivity of IETF meetings in general	3.27% 8	10.20% 25	21.63% 53	17.14% 42	39.18% 96	8.57% 21	245	3.86
Being fully online	12.85% 32	15.26% 38	20.48% 51	8.84% 22	34.94% 87	7.63% 19	249	3.41
My schedule / commitments	29.64% 75	25.30% 64	16.21% 41	9.49% 24	16.60% 42	2.77% 7	253	2.57
My technology / working environment	4.86% 12	8.10% 20	12.55% 31	12.96% 32	54.66% 135	6.88% 17	247	4.12

Q8 What is the primary reason why you did not participate in the IETF 108 meeting?

Answered: 257 Skipped: 182




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
The registration fee was too high	19.46%	50
The timezone of the meeting was too difficult	5.45%	14
The schedule of the meeting was too difficult	0.78%	2
The agenda was not interesting/important enough	5.84%	15
Productivity of IETF meetings in general is not enough	3.50%	9
Because it was a fully online meeting	15.18%	39
I was too busy / My other commitments were more important	36.58%	94
I knew I would have problems with technology / distractions / workspace	2.72%	7
Other (please specify)	10.51%	27
TOTAL		257

IETF 108 Non-Participation

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	It is extremely difficult to combine availability for an online meeting with internal commitments as we're not truly away	9/15/2020 7:40 PM
2	interesting session were turned down because of covid	9/15/2020 3:59 AM
3	Did not know that a registration fee was required for online participation; Could not get budget in time.	9/14/2020 8:09 PM
4	working from home commitments	9/14/2020 7:30 PM
5	Vacation	9/14/2020 7:25 PM
6	I am involved to some extent in an IETF research group, but I didn't think that my participation in IETF 108 would contribute to the research group.	9/14/2020 5:43 PM
7	I am following offline.	9/14/2020 4:07 PM
8	IETF is becoming too politically correct for my tastes. Its not even necessary for the technologies I'm deeply involved in	9/14/2020 1:02 PM
9	Registration fee for students	9/14/2020 10:30 AM
10	I didn't realize until day that IETF meeting started, that a registration fee was due. Getting the registration paid for is a multi-day thing and I'd have to explain why I didn't pay before the "early bird" deadline.	9/14/2020 9:40 AM
11	The value/interest for me is mainly in personal interactions rather than the formal agenda. (I am active as an IETF DE reviewer, but not currently active in any working groups.)	9/12/2020 10:27 PM
12	I'm slowly retiring so am pushing other people to participate; we need fresh blood	9/12/2020 9:32 AM
13	It's all recorded anyway.	9/12/2020 9:08 AM
14	motivation, in general, was low	9/12/2020 2:43 AM
15	Because there was a registration fee for online participation (yes, I know...).	9/12/2020 1:42 AM
16	Too busy, not currently working actively on IETF work, and had other colleagues participate	9/11/2020 10:22 PM
17	Vacation period	9/11/2020 10:05 PM
18	Was on vacation with family	9/11/2020 8:23 PM
19	In previous meetings remote participation was free. This time, I found out the price too late and couldn't arrange its payment with my company.	9/11/2020 6:47 PM
20	No effective hallway discussions	9/11/2020 4:31 PM
21	Lack of professional networking and the agenda was not available soon enough or have the sessions I would be interested in.	9/11/2020 2:46 PM
22	I am on leave and looking after a baby.	9/11/2020 2:41 PM
23	I have no plan to make presentation	9/11/2020 2:19 PM
24	forgot to register and by the time the meeting started, my calendar schedule was filled up	9/11/2020 1:28 PM
25	The oauth WG is my main focus, and our meetings occurred as ad hoc instances outside of the normal IETF programming	9/11/2020 1:21 PM
26	I am wondering what the value of meetings are when they are not face-to-face. We constantly have everyone on the list or an email address for anyone we want to communicate with. On the net today, it is easy to collaborate as a team - setting up git repos, mailing lists, a SIP PBX or Jitsi/Zoom meeting. What's the value that IETF provides to justify paying such high fees? I can instead spend that money on something more worthwhile. For this field, please use a box instead of a single line - it is harder to type a paragraph into a single line field.	9/11/2020 1:14 PM
27	I'm no longer in a work role where IETF is relevant.	9/11/2020 1:13 PM

Q9 Did you want to participate in IETF 108?


Answered: 257 Skipped: 182



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Definitely yes	30.35%	78
Probably yes	38.52%	99
Not sure	19.07%	49
Probably not	10.89%	28
Definitely not	1.17%	3
TOTAL		257

Q10 Which of the following applies to you? (check all that apply)


Answered: 257 Skipped: 182



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Subscriber to an IETF mailing list	97.28%	250
Posted to an IETF mailing list within the last year	56.03%	144
Author of an active Internet-Draft	32.68%	84
Author of an RFC published within the last 5 years	22.96%	59
Author of an RFC published more than 5 years ago	17.12%	44
Current WG/BoF chair	3.89%	10
Current Area Director	0.78%	2
Current IAB Member	0.78%	2
Total Respondents: 257		

Q11 Why you did not apply for a fee waiver? (check all that apply)

Answered: 93 Skipped: 346




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
I did not know about the fee waivers	45.16%	42
I did not think that I was eligible	48.39%	45
I did not want to stop someone else from getting a fee waiver	33.33%	31
I did not want to explain why I needed a fee waiver	23.66%	22
I did not want someone to decide if I was eligible	13.98%	13
I did not want anyone to know that I needed a fee waiver	5.38%	5
I could not find out how to apply	3.23%	3
The process to apply was too much work / too difficult	5.38%	5
Other (please specify)	15.05%	14
Total Respondents: 93		

IETF 108 Non-Participation

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Too high workload for teaching because of the corona crisis. Didn't know about fee waiver and there was no option to organize funds (time would have permitted just few very scope-focused meetings and we're always short of budget, so I refrained from registering). Recommend considering fee waiver for (non-student) university staff for future events, the conference fees are the main hinder in attending.	9/15/2020 12:33 AM
2	I could not participate at the IETF	9/14/2020 8:51 PM
3	I applied on the day that IETF began, which was apparently too late (unless I missed the waiver notification)..	9/14/2020 9:41 AM
4	I knew that I was in remote location therefore wouldn't have good connectivity.	9/12/2020 3:11 AM
5	It just didn't seem right as my decision was based on the fact that I got little value professionally for the \$\$. As an independent consultant it's worth paying at least one day fee for the networking opportunities. I would have paid a \$99 fee, for example but I truly don't feel I qualify for a waiver.	9/12/2020 2:24 AM
6	It felt wrong.	9/12/2020 1:43 AM
7	My organization should have paid and support the IETF	9/11/2020 11:33 PM
8	I was confused whether i am eligible for fee waiver	9/11/2020 8:46 PM
9	it's not that i can't afford the fee. But for a single session? no...	9/11/2020 6:39 PM
10	I did not think the online version was worth the fee.	9/11/2020 2:20 PM
11	Please see my comments about the fees elsewhere in this survey. I am sorry if this is blunt but I don't think just organizing an online meeting is worth the registration fees. The \$700 fees previously for in-person meetings was at least somewhat justifiable for the meeting venue, food, internet, etc.	9/11/2020 1:16 PM
12	In general not worth the effort for an online meeting	9/11/2020 1:08 PM
13	In general not worth the effort for an online meeting	9/11/2020 1:05 PM
14	In theory my institution would have paid for the registration; however I felt this too expensive for an online only meeting. I did not apply for a waiver since I could have paid for it if really necessary.	9/11/2020 12:58 PM

Q12 Please tell us why you no longer participate in the IETF? (check all that apply)

Answered: 113 Skipped: 326



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
My employer no longer supports me	35.40%	40
My work in the IETF is complete	12.39%	14
There are no subjects being discussed that interest me	6.19%	7
I cannot spare the time needed	31.86%	36
I had a bad experience with the IETF	4.42%	5
The IETF was not the right place for my work	9.73%	11
Other (please specify)	30.09%	34
Total Respondents: 113		

IETF 108 Non-Participation

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	I wanted to publish my drafts as an independent contributor. I gave it a try by writing to the Independent Submission Editor. I did not get any positive result yet. I tried to participate remotely with the intension that I might be able to pursue right people my make my work published. My drafts are not published yet, but I consider my works are worth publishing. I would like to participate in any event of IETF provided I (or my work) happen to be found useful by the IETF community. By the way, I am not employed and I find it expensive to participate in any IETF event, unless any positive outcome comes out of it. Thanks	9/17/2020 2:38 AM
2	I was unsubscribed due to Colo BGP failure; and found no reason to re-subscribe.	9/16/2020 4:28 AM
3	Remote became Paid	9/15/2020 6:41 PM
4	1) The political choices made by the IETF, specifically that government surveillance is a threat, have fostered a poor climate for technical discussion. While invasion of privacy is distasteful, surveillance isn't only done by governments (i.e., criminal enterprise) and, independent of that, surveillance can also be beneficial (i.e., parenting). 2) The IETF method of developing documents is inefficient, rewarding ideas championed by those that are willing to see the process through as opposed to ideas on merit. 3) Consultants and other independent contractors have come to be one of the major forces in IETF discussions. Unconsciously or not, this group (financially through work) benefits from continuing unclear or incomplete specifications and the results bear this out. These are a few reasons I've decided "life is too short" to continue with IETF participation.	9/15/2020 4:26 AM
5	I am not a member of the event schedule mailing list, hence I didn't even know about it. I'll check it out, a mail reminder regarding the events would be nice.	9/15/2020 4:09 AM
6	I left my job so right noe I don't have employer to support me.	9/15/2020 12:11 AM
7	My work had drifted away from being IETF-related, but it may drift back someday	9/14/2020 9:41 PM
8	I do follow some things but don't participate actively.	9/14/2020 8:55 PM
9	I was not prepared to participate; I was not informed about the event.	9/14/2020 5:42 PM
10	Moved to a new job role	9/14/2020 5:21 PM
11	I try to follow the work as much as possible - but to be completely honest, I'm not entirely sure what working for the IETF comprises of other than attending the working group meetings or working on the Internet drafts. And since I haven't done both lately, I would say I haven't been active with the IETF. But I am following discussions/email threads in the working groups that interest me!	9/14/2020 2:14 PM
12	People are rude and not responsive . I have negative experiences been ignored and humiliated . The best part is there is no record of my feedback . Is this survey also a joke ?	9/14/2020 12:46 PM
13	I was busy with my school research, I also did not see the advert.	9/14/2020 11:27 AM
14	Not currently working in a relevant field	9/14/2020 10:53 AM
15	Please I know nothing about it	9/14/2020 10:19 AM
16	I have retired	9/14/2020 9:38 AM
17	Network access is not easy in my area	9/13/2020 2:43 AM
18	i participated for my electrical engineering thesis, which was finished last year. I am no longer working nor researching in any topics related to the IETF work.	9/12/2020 12:32 PM
19	I was late to work on my payment for the canada meeting	9/12/2020 5:28 AM
20	I lack good connecti	9/12/2020 3:06 AM
21	Simply forgot	9/11/2020 11:05 PM
22	Data	9/11/2020 10:40 PM
23	The IETF lost all interest in rough consensus and running code, and turned into a bureaucracy	9/11/2020 10:22 PM
24	i participated last meeting but didnot accept my proposal so thats why i can not apply in IETF because i am particated alot of time and they didnot accept me i want to attend meeting but	9/11/2020 10:19 PM

IETF 108 Non-Participation

now i am diheart

25	At the embassy, they denied a visa	9/11/2020 9:33 PM
26	I cannot seem to get emails updating me of the same.	9/11/2020 8:42 PM
27	I was never selected to attend an IETF event to meet other participants in person. So, I believe it is not the right event to participate. Better not waste time trying again.	9/11/2020 8:11 PM
28	I'm developing specifications independently, and in ISO technical committees.	9/11/2020 7:35 PM
29	Specific interests arise once in a while	9/11/2020 6:51 PM
30	It was a one time school trip (bachelor of science in IT).	9/11/2020 4:54 PM
31	COVID19 has seriously derailed situation normal. I was planning on speaking at future live events.	9/11/2020 2:43 PM
32	There are monopoly of companies in IETF meetings. IETF is adopting standards which are benefiting the companies than the technology.	9/11/2020 2:00 PM
33	I didn't have information on the meeting	9/11/2020 12:58 PM
34	My participation was as a brief observer - I primarily went to the IETF in Montreal to meet some new colleagues.	9/11/2020 12:57 PM

Q13 Is there anything else you would like to say about why you did not participate in IETF 108?

Answered: 100 Skipped: 339

IETF 108 Non-Participation

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	If I can't go to the IETF meeting locally, I must work in my company whole day .	9/17/2020 3:44 AM
2	i recommend that fees be waived automatically for people that always participate remotely with no previous fees.	9/16/2020 4:27 AM
3	I failed to attend 107 in person due to financial constraints as I am only a student at the moment. I am more than willing to participate in the subsequent IETF meetings in future should I find some funding.	9/15/2020 11:50 PM
4	nothing	9/15/2020 11:09 PM
5	Definitely a bad thing that it was fully online in terms of awareness and commitment.	9/15/2020 9:48 PM
6	online meeting is boring and we should find a way to attract people and let them feel they are importantly involved.	9/15/2020 7:52 PM
7	Most groups that I participate in were not scheduled. Therefore, it made no sense to register.	9/15/2020 3:32 PM
8	With online meetings it is very difficult to justify missing your regular ("daily-work") ones: juggling both full schedules is stressful and makes it difficult to participate. A half schedule over a longer period of time (2 weeks) could be better - after all, why cramming everything together when there are no hotel bills to pay?	9/15/2020 11:31 AM
9	I work as a NOC volunteer, so many of these questions don't apply to me in the same way they would apply to a typical attendee.	9/15/2020 8:49 AM
10	My Laptop screen was broken and so that was my challenge	9/15/2020 6:38 AM
11	Yes, please advertise better the meetings so I can't miss the next one. Thank you!	9/15/2020 5:47 AM
12	I understand why IETF 108 had to be fully remote, but those of us in the service provider companies struggle to break free from workloads in the best of times - it's much worse now with a sharp increase in workloads due to COVID. As an author of a draft, I'm especially bothered because it will appear we've lost interest in the draft - we haven't, but simply keeping the lights on has been all-consuming.	9/15/2020 2:50 AM
13	In general I prefer remote participation, unless working hard on something specific and in that case local participation works better due to hallway meetings etc.	9/15/2020 2:34 AM
14	Attending an IETF in person gives me a period of focus on high quality technical content and a stimulating environment, even during WGs I'm only tangentially involved in. This isn't the point of the IETF but It builds a rigorous mindset and makes me more effective in my core topics. I just don't bother with adjacent WGs remotely and miss the bigger picture from in person meetings. Also there's a danger of degenerating into lectures (other SDOs suffer from this) whereas IETF's strength is rigorous debate.	9/15/2020 2:13 AM
15	In-presence participation is the best option to step back from daily tasks and stay focused on IETF meetings - unfortunately this was not possible. My experience from past (online and in-presence) participation at IETF meetings is that for university employees the funding of registration fees and travel is the major challenge/hinder in attending IETF meetings. Unless university staff is heavily involved in projects that have interest in IETF participation and sponsor it, the funding of participation is always a fight against windmills. So the IETF may consider a waiver on meeting fees for university staff - and not only junior (PhD student) but also senior staff. This could support a fresh wind and more academic involvement (if that's desired).	9/15/2020 12:45 AM
16	The majority of work that gets done at the IETF is in the spaces. The meetings themselves provide ample opportunity for preening, bragging, strutting etc... For me the opportunity to meet with colleagues and use a white board or napkin is invaluable.	9/15/2020 12:26 AM
17	Meeting people in person and in corridors is the only value of IETF meetings (besides the need to present something). Online meeting did not provide this... As long as there is a corona threat I don't want to participate in person either...	9/14/2020 8:25 PM
18	Remote Meetings should be well organized well ahead of time and links send to participants.	9/14/2020 8:22 PM
19	To further explain my "the meeting fee was too high" answer, the fact is that I forgot to register for this meeting (sadly, an all-virtual meeting just doesn't have the same visibility in my	9/14/2020 8:03 PM

IETF 108 Non-Participation

calendar as a face-to-face meeting for which I'll have to book flights, hotels etc.). Then, when I could have registered just before the meeting (too late for the early-bird rate) I just felt that it was too much money to justify being able to dial into the NETMOD, NETCONF and OPSAWG meetings (which are the main groups that I follow). I could have registered, and my company would have reimbursed me, but I decided not to. I have also to say that it seems wrong to me that I could have participated remotely in past meetings without paying a fee but now this is no longer possible. I understand that there is a fee waiver mechanism but I really didn't think it would have been right to use it (see the last sentence of the previous paragraph).

20	no	9/14/2020 7:30 PM
21	In my current organization we are doing 2nd Quarter Vulnerability assessment and patching activity and its a large scale organization.	9/14/2020 6:54 PM
22	Did not know about it... was too tied up with Corona related work.	9/14/2020 5:49 PM
23	Pandemic of covid 19	9/14/2020 5:31 PM
24	I missed out early communication about the schedule and got busy on installations which made me to miss.	9/14/2020 4:23 PM
25	Unaware of meeting. Partially distracted by or issues due to COVID. Also find remote meetings don't enable the hallway conversations which are the most important and productive part of meetings to me.	9/14/2020 4:17 PM
26	no	9/14/2020 3:38 PM
27	In the meetings prior to IETF108, remote participation was free. I don't understand why it wasn't free in this one. I am a university researcher in Argentina, and my budget is not enough to travel and pay for face-to-face participation. Therefore remote participation is ideal for being informed and free of charge.	9/14/2020 2:48 PM
28	None	9/14/2020 2:20 PM
29	Overall, the progress in main meetings vs. interims did not justify attendance. While I wanted to attend, the cost was not justifiable either to me personally or my organization.	9/14/2020 1:46 PM
30	Honestly, I'd be a bit more inclined to participate in remote meetings most likely given that I don't do much overseas travel (based in North America). I guess the remote participation not being a "second class" experience (or to my perception) may make it more appealing. However, it's a bit tangential to my day job as well.	9/14/2020 1:17 PM
31	I could get the recording of the session later on so no need for me to participate in the live sessions.	9/14/2020 12:16 PM
32	None	9/14/2020 11:13 AM
33	I seem to have missed it, as the emails got auto-directed to my ietf folder and i missed to check for a few months, due to work load.	9/14/2020 11:01 AM
34	Online registration should be cheap for university members. Several of my PhD students participated for a low fee, but I had to register for a full fee. Therefore, I decided not to participate as my schedule was full anyway.	9/14/2020 10:40 AM
35	I did not hear about it	9/14/2020 10:14 AM
36	The HTTPbis WG did not meet again. The interims are minimal in duration so new drafts are not included unless they have wide support on mail lists. It is much harder to progress new work, especially if is a bit contentious.	9/14/2020 10:14 AM
37	Didn't know about it	9/14/2020 10:10 AM
38	Usually do not participate in july meetings anyway due to july being the primary summer holiday month in my area	9/14/2020 9:54 AM
39	The mode of IETF communicates to us. I dont get emails officially.	9/14/2020 9:54 AM
40	I was surprised that payment was required for remote registration, I don't know how I missed this discussion. I guess I was also surprised that no option was available for people who did not wish to pay. Again, I did apply for a waiver on the first day of IETF. I would recommend not implementing an early bird discount for remote attendees. I might have been able to apply and	9/14/2020 9:46 AM

IETF 108 Non-Participation

get approval for registration fee, but I unintentionally missed the early-bird registration fee. I also might have participated if I had been able to get a waiver immediately on the first day of the IETF meeting.

41	I've been revering from Covid 19, which has seriously hindered my IETF participation.	9/14/2020 8:09 AM
42	No	9/13/2020 7:04 AM
43	Done!	9/13/2020 5:43 AM
44	It would be easy if you informed about the IETF 108 well in advance through the registered email address, as you sent this survey link. Would have made time to register and attend. It went unnoticed.	9/13/2020 1:50 AM
45	Na	9/12/2020 6:26 PM
46	I did not participate in IETF 108 because I found the application very complicated to fill.	9/12/2020 10:30 AM
47	I didn't participate in 108 because I realized a few IETF meetings back that all the sessions were recorded and on youtube, which meant that I could watch them on my time, instead of getting up early/late to watch live.	9/12/2020 9:09 AM
48	My laptops and mobile phones were stolen at the University of Ghana, Legon, where I study, after writing an exam there. Have never recieved IETF emails since then	9/12/2020 8:23 AM
49	A very important part of the IETFs is all the side meetings – both organized and spontaneous. They don't happen online. THAT's my main reason for not attending.	9/12/2020 7:58 AM
50	Because I didn't know	9/12/2020 7:30 AM
51	I'm really confused about how fees apply to remote attendance. In live meetings remote attendance is free. In all-remote meetings is remote attendance always require a fee? Or is the fee only for those who would have been live it it has been a live meeting?	9/12/2020 6:39 AM
52	I wasn't reminder	9/12/2020 4:26 AM
53	not at this time	9/12/2020 2:26 AM
54	As an operator I am only subscribed and follow one or two working groups with direct relevance to where I can contribute. It didn't make much sense to register for the whole conference and pay the fee for just two sessions. This is also why I have only participated remotely in the past. IETF is not my day job like it is for the vendors.	9/12/2020 2:07 AM
55	I realize that fully online meetings raise different problems, but I was turned off by the way things were handled.	9/12/2020 1:47 AM
56	The hallway track and side meetings are the most valuable part of IETF meetings for me. If WG meetings are going to be remote, it would be better to spread them out over several weeks rather than concentrate in a single week.	9/12/2020 12:23 AM
57	I find it is nearly impossible to dedicate the time needed to focus on IETF work outside of the day job when not physically removing yourself from the day job to attend in person.	9/12/2020 12:18 AM
58	Technology. I do not know what I would have needed to use my Windows PC, what hardware, software and what quality of broadband. Likewise I have not tried to participate in virtual interim. I track the IETF list and the feedback seems to be that the technicalities were a struggle for some as I am not versed in this technology I think that I would have struggled.	9/11/2020 11:38 PM
59	No cookies. :-)	9/11/2020 11:33 PM
60	I did not know	9/11/2020 10:34 PM
61	Online and offline both have their merits; for offline meetings, host country can be a problem (especially the US because of visa issues), but with online meetings a large component is lost (random hallway meetings).	9/11/2020 10:24 PM
62	There are two aspects of IETF that are relevant I feel. The first is active definitions of protocols intended or actually being deployed over Internet networks. This tends to get the bulk of attention administratively at IETF community. Fair enough. But the second and possibly more relevant is to have reflections on the deployment or not of protocols and the interactions with efforts in other places and on local policies (networks, RIRs, legislatures/regulators and	9/11/2020 9:54 PM

IETF 108 Non-Participation

market captures. One test that IETF should always keep in mind is to support capability to deploy and manage a (new/renew) network from scratch around the world. With online meetings which I hope will continue, the second aspect could be given more focus.

63	None	9/11/2020 9:34 PM
64	As Engineers, we do have a responsibility to make a better world. Flying around the globe three times a year, in our current climate crisis, does not make the world a better place. We should stay online.	9/11/2020 9:15 PM
65	My interest was mostly focused to a couple of meetings only, also available time was restricted, hence the fee being a significant barrier.	9/11/2020 9:15 PM
66	I primarily participate via GitHub.	9/11/2020 9:11 PM
67	I am somewhat disappointed in IETF, and I often feel the atmosphere hostile.	9/11/2020 9:01 PM
68	It's one thing how interesting the IETF agenda is in general and on a personal plane, another thing how interesting it is, short term, for your employer (that commonly will be the one paying both meeting fee and your time spent in the meeting); this can vary greatly from meeting to meeting.	9/11/2020 8:55 PM
69	no	9/11/2020 8:39 PM
70	It was in the middle of our summer vacation.	9/11/2020 7:45 PM
71	Online for meetings of this type does not work. The side meetings, chance catch-ups, socialising is AS important as the actual meeting. Even subtleties such as reading someone's body language or the manner in which people are reacting to a speaker matter. All this is lost on line. As social creatures, we need social interaction.	9/11/2020 7:16 PM
72	I am ready and willing to organize and participate in next IETF	9/11/2020 7:15 PM
73	I think the best thing of "in person" IETF meetings are the informal discussions that are held in corridors. Lots of work can be done before and after sessions, in front of a coffee or a beer. The immersion in these meetings is quite total: work does not end with the session, but continues over food, over visiting places, any time of day and night, from breakfast to 3AM (because of jetlag). This cannot be reproduced by on line meetings, and while I fully understand (and support) the reason of cancelling the Madrid IETF, I see little point in registering to a meeting that will give me very little beyond mailing list discussions.	9/11/2020 6:53 PM
74	I enjoy watching the recording afterwards. Having the ability to skip parts or re-watch parts is very helpful. However, I did not considered this as participation.	9/11/2020 6:51 PM
75	No	9/11/2020 6:48 PM
76	Current IETF activities are not just in my employer's immediate agenda.	9/11/2020 6:18 PM
77	Keep up the goodjob.	9/11/2020 6:16 PM
78	Work was super busy so setting aside a week not practical. Also most sessions not of interest unlike a normal meeting. Timezones bad. But most of all - IETF is about meeting folks in person.	9/11/2020 6:06 PM
79	Intended participating in calisfy. Didn't find out about fee waivers until day before. Didn't know what was involved.	9/11/2020 5:52 PM
80	Didn't receive any invitation	9/11/2020 5:21 PM
81	held online, there is no good place to networking with other colleagues from other companies	9/11/2020 5:19 PM
82	In person meetings are uniquely productive and offer benefits that remote meeting participation will never achieve.	9/11/2020 5:04 PM
83	I never got the invite	9/11/2020 4:58 PM
84	I was asking for help to attended IETF in person in Madrid, Spain. Mainly I need support and sponsors to come to my aids.	9/11/2020 4:56 PM
85	Not at all	9/11/2020 4:03 PM
86	There is a miscommunication	9/11/2020 3:42 PM

IETF 108 Non-Participation

87	Socializing face-to-face is most important and missing with the remote meetings. Remote stuff is better done on email lists.	9/11/2020 2:49 PM
88	I miss the in person meetings and look forward to participating in future sessions.	9/11/2020 2:47 PM
89	Keep up the good work!	9/11/2020 2:43 PM
90	The combination of the time zone choice and the area director's schedule made it impossible to schedule a working group meeting as part of ietf108 and so I focused on an interim meeting during a different week.	9/11/2020 2:41 PM
91	I do not aware of the event, should you notify via email or social ?	9/11/2020 2:29 PM
92	I was involved in the TLS 1.3 vote at IETF 101. I was very disappointed to see a specific individual grandstand and disrespect the people who were disagreeing with him, and this has still left a very sour taste in my mouth. I felt that there were some procedural issues which are problematic, and a level of hubris and, bluntly, Dunning-Kruger from some participants which needs to be pulled in hard.	9/11/2020 2:24 PM
93	I do most IETF work on the mailing list. I go to the meetings to interact with people and hash out ideas. The online meetings do not fulfill that need and probably cannot.	9/11/2020 2:22 PM
94	I could not easily explain my boss to participate to the on-line ietf meeting which requires registration fee.	9/11/2020 1:32 PM
95	did you mean ietf 109 rather than 108 in survey. oddly enough thailand is very very safe (zero native case of covid for 120 days) though concede thailand may not let us in.	9/11/2020 1:30 PM
96	Please open the registration for all without fees. You can still have a donation checkbox if someone prefers to pay (it would be an interesting experiment to see how many prefer to pay this way). If you are not able to justify this, please review your budgeting and expenses. To repeat my comments elsewhere in this survey, a group of us can quickly organize a real-time video meeting on a variety of platforms (many of us meet daily like this, present materials by screen sharing, converse by video/audio). We can use facilities such as Etherpad or Google Docs for shared document, git repository for version control, email and mailing lists for non realtime discussions, screen sharing for "presentation". We can still upload the final IETF drafts. This is preferable to registering, paying fees (a set of walls that get in the way).	9/11/2020 1:23 PM
97	Now IETF is only a hobby for me.	9/11/2020 1:13 PM
98	Covid + disabled Mother-in-law = no time. I hope to be active again within a year.	9/11/2020 1:11 PM
99	Taking drafts seriously till the end., which means to be either a standard or ignored.	9/11/2020 1:06 PM
100	IETF = working group sessions BUT ALSO: - conversations with other vendors, colleagues - meetings with customers - meetings with competitors to solidify ideas/drafts in common Online meeting is too narrow of a focus. Presentation of -00 drafts and "updates since last ietf" represents approx. 10-20% of my agenda and tasks at an IETF meeting. It however represents 100% of an online meeting.	9/11/2020 1:00 PM